

REMARKS

Claims 11, 12, 18, 22, 25, 29, 30, 32 and 47-50 are amended and claims 1-10, 13-17, 23, 24, 26-28, 37 and 41-46 are canceled. Claims 11, 12, 18-22, 25, 29-36, 38-40, 47-50 and 51-53 are pending in the application. Reconsideration of the application is respectfully requested in view of the amendments and the remarks to follow.

Allowable Subject Matter:

Claims 20, 21, 31 and 51-53 are stated (Summary; page 7, item 8) to be allowed. Claims 11, 12, 18, 22, 25, 29, 30, 32 and 47-50 have been amended to include allowable subject matter and/or to depend from allowable claims and thus are also allowable.

Art Rejections:

Claims 1-6, 8-13, 15, 32, 33, 35-37, 39, 41-46, 48 and 50 are stated (p. 2) to stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Inside Macintosh (QuickDraw GX Environment and Utilities, Chs. 2 and 3), hereinafter "IM", in view of Gien et al., "Micro-Kernel Based Operating Systems; Moving Unix Onto Modern System Architecture" (emphasis added; ©1991 by Chorus Systemes, Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, France; Proceedings of the UniForum 1992 Conference, San Francisco, USA, January 22-24, 1992). Claims 7, 14, 16-19, 22, 47 and 49 are stated (p. 5) to stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over IM in view of Gien and further in view of Lindholm et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,765,157 (hereinafter "Lindholm"). Claims 23-28 and 30 are stated (p. 6) to stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over IM in view of Gien and (apparently) further in view of Culbert et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,696,926 (hereinafter "Culbert"). Claims 34, 38 and 40 are stated (p. 6) to stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over IM in view of Gien and further in view of Berstis et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,909,215 (hereinafter "Berstis"). Claim 29 is stated (p. 7) to stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over IM in view of Gien and Culbert and further in view of Lindholm.

Claims 1-10, 13-17, 23, 24, 26-28, 37 and 41-46 have been canceled without prejudice and claims 11, 12, 18, 22, 25, 29, 30, 32 and 47-50 have been amended to include allowable subject matter and/or to depend from allowable claims. Accordingly, the rejection is moot. Applicant reserves the right to pursue


the subject matter of the canceled and/or amended claims and such cancellation or amendment in no manner signifies agreement with the basis for rejection.

Conclusion:

Claims 11, 12, 18-22, 25, 29-36, 38-40, 47-50 and 51-53 are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and issuance of the subject application. Should any matter in this case remain unresolved, the undersigned attorney respectfully requests a telephone conference with the Examiner to resolve any such outstanding matter.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: Aug. 17, 2004

By: 
Frederick M. Fliegel
Reg. No. 36,138
(509) 324-9256 x239